

SECRET

OXC-3123
Copy 2 of 3

7 March 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Concurrence in Contract [redacted] with Minneapolis-Honeywell, St. Petersburg, Fla., Project KEDLOCK

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation submitted for concurrence of the undersigned. Such recommendation is contained in Paragraph 6.

2. Contract [redacted] covers the procurement of preliminary designs and studies for an Inertial Measurement Units and Guidance and Armanent Control System Integration for the Air Force Strike/Reconnaissance Version of the OXCART Vehicle. This work is part of a design competition with Hughes Aircraft Company, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, [redacted]. The contract has been issued on a CPFF basis because of the development nature of the work at a total estimated cost and fixed fee of [redacted] and [redacted] respectively.

3. Contract [redacted] obligates an amount [redacted] chargeable in FY 1962 [redacted]

[redacted] These funds are part of those identified in memo to DRD Finance Officer, OXC-3122, dated 6 March 1962. By concurrence to this memorandum the Comptroller signifies that sufficient funds are available for this obligation.

4. The services and equipment being procured by this contract are in furtherance of the KEDLOCK Program, the nature of which cannot be publicly disclosed for security reasons. The undersigned Contracting Officer therefore determines that this procurement must be accomplished by negotiations pursuant to the authority of Section 3(a) of PL 81-110 and Class Determination and Finding, OXC-2122, signed by the DDCI on 25 October 1961.

5. Certification of funds for this contract will be handled under the procedure approved by the Director of Central Intelligence

SECRET

SECRET

on 15 December 1956 which, in effect, results in all covert expenses involving issuance of Treasury Checks being accumulated in a separate account within the Finance Division. The amounts in this account will be periodically scheduled for certification of the vouchers by the Director. This procedure eliminates the necessity for a separate certification of authority under Section 8(b) of Public Law 110, 81st Congress (formerly 10(b) - see 85-507 dated 7/7/58) for each contract.

6. Concurrence in Contract is recommended.

SIGNED

Contracting Officer, EPD

CONCURRENCES:

SIGNED

Comptroller, EPD

3 April
Date

SIGNED

Chief, Development Branch, EPD

8 Mar
Date

Office of General Counsel, EPD

9 Mar
Date

CS/EPD-DD

Distribution:

Cy 1 - CS/EPD

2 - FIN/EPD

3 - RI/EPD

SECRET